



**Friday,  
13 March 2020  
10.00 am**

**Meeting of  
Estates and Property  
Committee  
Sadler Road  
Winsford**

Contact Officer:  
Naomi Thomas  
Democratic Services

Cheshire Fire and Rescue Service, Sadler Road, Winsford, Cheshire, CW7 2FQ

Tel: 01606 868804804  
E-mail: [naomi.thomas@cheshirefire.gov.uk](mailto:naomi.thomas@cheshirefire.gov.uk)

## **Cheshire Fire Authority**

### **Notes for Members of the Public**

---

#### **Attendance at Meetings**

The Cheshire Fire Authority welcomes and encourages members of the public to be at its meetings and Committees. You are requested to remain quiet whilst the meeting is taking place and to enter and leave the meeting room as quickly and quietly as possible.

All meetings of the Authority are held at Sadler Road Winsford. If you plan to attend please report first to the Reception Desk where you will be asked to sign in and will be given a visitors pass. You should return your pass to the Reception Desk when you leave the building. There are some car parking spaces available on site for visitors at the front of the Sadler Road. Please do not park in spaces reserved for Fire Service personnel.

If you feel there might be particular problems with access to the building or car parking please contact the Reception Desk at Sadler Road Winsford Tel (01606) 868700.

---

#### **Questions by Electors**

An elector in the Fire Service area can ask the Chair of the Authority a question if it is sent to the Monitoring Officer at Fire Service HQ to arrive at least five clear working days before the meeting. The contact officer named on the front of the Agenda will be happy to advise you on this procedure.

---

#### **Access to Information**

Copies of the Agenda will be available at the meeting. A copy can also be obtained from the contact officer named on the front of the Agenda. Alternatively, individual reports are available on the Authority's website ([www.cheshirefire.gov.uk](http://www.cheshirefire.gov.uk))

The Agenda is usually divided into two parts. Members of the public are allowed to stay for the first part. When the Authority is ready to deal with the second part you will be asked to leave the meeting room, because the business to be discussed will be of a confidential nature, for example, dealing with individual people and contracts.

**This agenda is available in large print, Braille, audio CD or in community languages upon request by contacting; Telephone: 01606868414 or email: [equalities@cheshirefire.gov.uk](mailto:equalities@cheshirefire.gov.uk)**

#### **Recording of Meetings**

Anyone attending the meeting should be aware the Authority audio-records its meetings. There is a protocol on reporting at meetings which provides further information. Copies are available on the Service's website [www.cheshirefire.gov.uk](http://www.cheshirefire.gov.uk) or alternatively contact Democratic Services for details

---

#### **Fire Evacuation**

If the Fire Alarm sounds you should make your way to the nearest exit as quickly as possible and leave the building. Please follow any instructions from staff about evacuation routes.



**MEETING OF THE ESTATES AND PROPERTY COMMITTEE  
FRIDAY, 13 MARCH 2020**

**Time : 10.00 am**

**HODs Meeting Room - Sadler Road, Winsford, Cheshire**

**AGENDA**

**PART 1 - BUSINESS TO BE DISCUSSED IN PUBLIC**

**1 PROCEDURAL MATTERS**

**1A Recording of Meeting**

Members are reminded that this meeting will be audio-recorded.

**1B Apologies for absence**

**1C Declarations of Members' Interests**

Members are reminded that the Members' Code of Conduct requires the disclosure of Statutory Disclosable Pecuniary Interests, Non-Statutory Disclosable Pecuniary Interests and Disclosable Non-Pecuniary Interests.

**1D Minutes of the Estates and Property Committee**

(Pages 1 - 4)

To confirm as a correct record the minutes of the Estates and Property Committee meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> March 2019.

**ITEMS REQUIRING DISCUSSION/DECISION**

**2 Chester Fire Station - Environmental Performance**

(Pages 5 - 12)

**3 Fire Station Modernisation Programme - Progress Report and Funding**

(Pages 13 - 18)

**4 Exclusion of Press and Public**

(Pages 19 - 20)

**PART 2 - BUSINESS TO BE DISCUSSED IN PRIVATE**

**5 Chester Fire Station - Environmental Performance**

(Pages 21 - 22)

This report includes information about the costs of the specific environmental proposals. The figures are not finalised and at this point are commercially sensitive. It complements the report at Item 2.

This page is intentionally left blank



**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE ESTATES AND PROPERTY COMMITTEE held on Thursday, 28 March 2019 at Leadership Team Conference Room - Fire Service, Clemonds Hey at 10.00 am**

**PRESENT:** Councillors J Mercer, T Sherlock, S Nelson, G Merry, D Mahon, E Johnson and independent (non-elected) member L Thomson

**1 PROCEDURAL MATTERS**

**A Recording of Meeting**

Members were reminded that the meeting would be audio recorded.

**B Apologies for absence**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Merry.

**C Declarations of Members' Interests**

There were no declarations of Members' interests.

**D Minutes of the Estates and Property Committee**

**RESOLVED:**

**That the minutes of the Estates and Performance Committee held on 14 December 2018 be confirmed as a correct record.**

**2 FIRE STATION MODERNISATION PROGRAMME – PROGRESS REPORT**

The Director of Governance and Commissioning introduced the report, which provided information on the progress made with the Fire Station Modernisation Programme and sought confirmation of the procurement route for the rest of the works to deliver the Fire Station Modernisation Programme.

He drew Members attention to Year One of the Delivery Schedule at Appendix 1 to the report. He advised Members that the delivery of the works at; Birchwood, Middlewich and Sandbach should be completed within Year One as expected, but that Runcorn, which is considered to be a major project and may require planning permission is expected to take longer to complete.

The Procurement and Contracts Manager from the Joint Procurement Team attended the meeting to advise Members on the preferred procurement route for the associated works and the activities that had taken place to reach the current position.

**RESOLVED: That;**

- [1] progress be noted; and**
- [2] the Procure North West Framework be utilised to deliver the rest of the Fire Station Modernisation Programme.**

### **3 CHESTER FIRE STATION**

The Director of Governance and Commissioning introduced the report, which provided Members with an update on the Chester Fire Station project.

He advised Members that a second planning application had been submitted which was believed to have satisfactorily dealt with the reason for refusal of the original planning application.

He referred Members to Appendices 1 and 2 to the report, which showed the proposed site layout plans of the original and second planning applications.

The Director of Governance and Commissioning informed Members that the public consultation on the second planning application had closed and that 13 comments had been made. These had two common themes: operational cover and value for money of a refurbishment compared to a rebuild.

He advised Members that due to the delays due to the refusal of the original planning application, there were some factors that would affect the agreed cost plan. However, a more detailed report would be, if necessary, submitted to the Fire Authority in the summer months when the second planning application had been dealt with.

**RESOLVED: That;**

- [1] the report be noted; and**
- [2] the potential for a further report to the Fire Authority concerned with funding be noted.**

### **4 CREATING A JOINT FIRE AND POLICE FACILITY ON THE CREWE FIRE STATION SITE**

The Director of Governance and Commissioning introduced the report, which provided Members with an update on progress to create a joint fire and police facility on the Crewe Fire Station site.

He informed Members that the requirements of both fire and police had now been agreed and external lawyers to represent the Fire Authority had been appointed.

A Member asked if there could be some reassurance on the timeframe and commitment to the project due to potential uncertainties associated with the election of the Police and Crime Commissioner next year. The Director of Governance and Commissioning advised that the intention was to have the necessary governance arrangements and legal documentation in place before the election.

**RESOLVED: That;**

**[1] progress made to date be noted.**

**5 SERVICE HOUSING STOCK**

The Director of Governance and Commissioning introduced the report, which provided an update on the development of a programme, which would see the refurbishment of the houses owned by the Fire Authority.

He informed Members that Service Delivery had confirmed the Service need and that the Joint Estates Team were in the process of documenting the current standard of the houses by completing a condition survey.

A Member asked if there were arrangements in place to move the occupiers of the houses when renovation works were scheduled. The Group Manager for Blue Light Collaboration advised Members that if occupiers needed to vacate during the works, every effort would be made to support them.

Another Member asked if any of the properties were empty. The Director of Governance and Commissioning advised that one was empty and that the intention would be to prioritise the sale of that particular house.

**RESOLVED: That;**

**[1] the report be noted; and**

**[2] the intention to sell five of the houses be endorsed.**

**6 EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC**

**RESOLVED: That;**

That under Section 100(A) (4) of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) Order 2006, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the items of business listed below on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Schedule 12 A to the Act in the paragraphs indicated:

**Item 7 – Fire Station Modernisation Programme – Year Zero Works**

**Item 8 – Training Centre Programme**

**Paragraph**

**(3) Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information)**

**7 FIRE STATION MODERNISATION PROGRAMME - YEAR ZERO WORKS**

The Director of Governance and Commissioning introduced the report which provided details of the work carried out to determine the requirements at the first two fire stations in the programme (Year Zero) (Bollington and Tarporley) and the associated costs. The report sought Member approval to proceed with the works at the two fire stations.

Members expressed concerns about the works that were excluded from the specification. The Director of Governance and Commissioning felt that it was important to protect the budget and learn from the experience of delivering the specified works at Bollington and Tarporley Fire Stations. However, he committed to further consider the issues raised with a view to secure additional works.

**RESOLVED: That;**

- [1] progress be noted; and**
- [2] the works at Bollington and Tarporley fire stations should proceed.**

**8 TRAINING CENTRE PROGRAMME**

The Director of Governance and Commissioning introduced the report, which provided Members with an update on the process to reach a finalised design and budget. He also confirmed the receipt of planning permission. The report sought approval to enter into the design and build contract and proceed into the construction phase of the programme.

**RESOLVED: That;**

- [1] the report be noted; and;**
- [2] the Director of Governance and Commissioning be authorised to enter into a design and build contract with ISG Construction Limited.**

## CHESHIRE FIRE AUTHORITY

**MEETING OF:** ESTATES AND PROPERTY COMMITTEE  
**DATE:** 13<sup>TH</sup> MARCH 2020  
**REPORT OF:** DIRECTOR OF GOVERNANCE AND COMMISSIONING  
**AUTHOR:** ANDREW LEADBETTER

---

**SUBJECT:** CHESTER FIRE STATION – ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE

---

### Purpose of Report

1. To present options to Members about the enhancement of the environmental performance of the building.

### Recommended: That

- [1] Members determine whether to recommend to the Fire Authority an option to enhance the environmental performance of the building.

### Background

2. The Fire Authority decided to replace Chester Fire Station with a new building in December 2017.
3. Planning permission was obtained in June 2019. The permission included a condition requiring the Fire Authority to meet a higher environmental standard than was achieved at Lymm, Penketh and Powey Lane. The standard covers a broad range of environment-related matters; it is not just about the fabric of the building.
4. Since the Fire Authority gained planning permission and entered into the contract for the construction of the fire station the climate change agenda has led to a much greater interest in achieving carbon neutrality.
5. The project team was asked to consider whether the fire station could be changed in order to deliver enhanced environmental performance.

### Information

6. A report prepared by the external project manager has already been shared with members of the committee. A slightly redacted version is attached to this report as Appendix 1. The information that has been redacted is contained in the report at Item 5. The information is not being published as there is some commercial sensitivity about it at this time. Should Members wish to discuss the figures in detail they should do so in private session.

7. Members will see that the proposals fall into two main elements. Firstly, improvements to the building fabric (e.g. insulation and window systems) leading to better air tightness; and secondly, the fitting of photo voltaic panels to generate electricity.
8. Members will see that it is expected to cost around £270k to achieve a zero carbon building. This will only deliver a small reduction in annual running costs; they are already expected to be very low if the building is constructed without the environmental enhancements.

### **Financial Implications**

9. The capital approval for the project was £5.51m. Members agreed that a contingency of 5% (£275,000) could also be utilised. There have been a variety of issues that have had an impact on the budget, e.g. the planning appeal and second planning application as well as the archaeological investigations. As a result there are no funds available to cover the environmental enhancements described in this report. Should the committee determine that it wishes the environmental enhancements to be included in the contract for the new fire station the Fire Authority will need to allocate additional capital funding.

### **Legal Implications**

10. Some of the environmental enhancements will require planning approval. An application would be required to amend the existing planning permission. Ultimately, the Fire Authority is expected to be required to meet environmental targets, including one relating to carbon emissions. The environmental enhancements will obviously benefit the Authority in relation to a target to become carbon neutral.

### **Equality and Diversity Implications**

11. None of the matters in this report have an impact upon equality and diversity.

### **Environmental Implications**

12. The report is about environmental matters.

**CONTACT: NAOMI THOMAS, GOVERNANCE AND CORPORATE PLANNING  
MANAGER  
TEL [01606] 868804  
BACKGROUND PAPERS: NONE**

## **Chester Fire Station – Enhancing the Green Credentials**

### Background

1. Given concerns about climate change and in light of expectations about reducing CO<sub>2</sub> an exercise was commissioned to establish the potential to improve the sustainability credentials of the new fire station.
2. The proposed design for Chester Fire Station, which is currently under construction was developed some eighteen months ago, and has been “on the shelf” due to the delays securing planning permission. In general terms the design was a good “low carbon” design for the time it was prepared. However, construction technologies and processes have evolved to an extent that it could now be improved. This paper rehearses the changes that could be made and assesses their cost and impact.
3. It seems likely that in the future the Fire Authority will be required to upgrade its estate to reduce energy consumption/CO<sub>2</sub> production. Whilst Chester as a newer station will be a lot better than those constructed in the 1960s and 1970s, it will still require an upgrade if completed to the current design.
4. Given the delays to the construction of the new Fire Station, there is a small window of time, available to amend some elements of the fabric to improve the building significantly during the construction period at a much lower cost than would be the case for retrofitting.
5. These measures include improvements to the thermal efficiency of the building fabric and the addition of photovoltaic panels. The target standards set have been driven by the proposals for the new Crewe Fire Station. However in some cases, as will be seen from the proposals below, it is not considered to be feasible to achieve these in all elements.

### Proposals

#### Enhanced Roof Coverings:

Chester Design – Contract U Value: 0.16 W/m<sup>2</sup>K

Crewe Design – Proposed U Value: 0.12 W/m<sup>2</sup>K

Chester Proposed Enhancement: 0.12 W/m<sup>2</sup>K

6. Following review, it is possible through a change of insulating material to improve the U value of the roof at Chester to achieve that proposed at Crewe. The cost to make this change is to be finalised.

#### External Wall Insulation:

Chester Design – Contract U Value: 0.22 W/m<sup>2</sup>K

Crewe Design – Proposed U Value: 0.15 W/m<sup>2</sup>K

Chester Proposed Enhancement: 0.18 W/m<sup>2</sup>K

7. Following review, it is not possible to achieve a U value of 0.15 at Chester without increasing the width of the external wall cavities. Unfortunately to do this, at this stage, would involve redesigning the foundations and adjusting the orders for pre manufactured materials such as concrete reinforcing bars. Whilst this is possible, it is felt that this would be cost prohibitive and consequently has not been pursued.
8. However, it is possible within the existing cavity to increase the thickness of the insulating material to achieve an improvement in the U Value to 0.18W/m<sup>2</sup>K. The cost to make this change is to be finalised.

Ground Floor Insulation:

Chester Design – Contract U Value: 0.20 W/m<sup>2</sup>K

Crewe Design – Proposed U Value: 0.15 W/m<sup>2</sup>K

Chester Proposed Enhancement: 0.15 W/m<sup>2</sup>K

9. Following review, it is possible through an increase in the thickness of the insulating material to improve the U value of the floor at Chester to achieve that proposed at Crewe. The cost to make this change is to be finalised.
10. The above cost, includes additional excavation and removal of spoil from site as this thickens the floor slab construction by 30mm.

Windows, Doors and Curtain Walling: (Not Appliance Bay Doors)

Chester Design – Contract U Value: 1.60 W/m<sup>2</sup>K

Contract G Value: 0.4

Crewe Design – Proposed U Value: 1.40 W/m<sup>2</sup>K

Proposed G Value: 0.4

Chester Proposed Enhancement: 1.40 W/m<sup>2</sup>K

Proposed G Value: 0.3

11. Following review, it is possible through a change in the proposed window system to improve both the U value and G Value of the windows at Chester to achieve that proposed at Crewe. The cost to make this change is to be finalised.
12. A second option to enhance the U value of the windows only, to a level of 0.8 W/m<sup>2</sup>K has been identified. This will require the proposed double glazing to be enhanced to triple glazing at a further additional cost which is to be finalised. This has not been analysed further in the energy modelling, as it is an additional improvement beyond the target for Crewe.

Air Tightness:

Chester Design – Contract Air Tightness: 7

Crewe Design – Proposed Air Tightness: 3

Chester Proposed Enhancement: 5

13. Following review, it is not possible to achieve an air tightness of 3 without substantial change to the proposed designs and construction methods. It is thought that this would incur a significant additional cost as it will increase the contract period and the associated overheads and staffing costs would therefore be payable.

14. However, it is possible to enhance the air tightness using the existing construction methodology to a level of 5. ISG have agreed to amend the contract to this revised level at NIL cost.

Photo Voltaic Panels to the Roof:

15. The addition of Photo Voltaic (PV) Panels to the roofs to generate electricity is, by far, the easiest and quickest way to enhance further the reduction in the carbon consumption of the proposed building, however it is also one of the most expensive.
16. At present the building is designed with no roof access, however if PV Panels are added then builders work is required to the following, in addition to the addition of the PV Panels:
- Additional roof beams to carry the additional weight
  - The addition of a “man safe” system. (This will also require maintenance thus increasing the operating costs.)

NB: A number of options for safe maintenance access have been considered, but as this is effectively a retro fit to an existing design, the design team have advised that this is the most cost effective and least obtrusive option available.

Three options have been considered for the installation of PV Panels:

1. Installation to the low level roof only, at a cost to be finalised
  2. Installation to all roofs, at a cost to be finalised
  3. As Option 2 with the addition of storage batteries, at a cost to be finalised
17. The design team have advised that Option 3 is not viable, due to the size and weight of the batteries. This has therefore not been considered further.

Summary

18. To help understand the effect of implementing the above proposals on the carbon consumption of the building a BRUKL energy model of two options has been undertaken to compare against the current design proposal.
19. The two options considered are:

Option 1: Enhance Roof, Walls, Floor and Double Glazed Windows. Increase Air Tightness to 5 and install PV Panels to the low level roof only.

Option 2: Enhance Roof, Walls, Floor and Double Glazed Windows. Increase Air Tightness to 5 and install PV Panels to all roofs.

The table below sets out to compare the BRUKL results for each option, the proposed design and the current proposals for Crewe.

Criteria	Chester FS: As Submitted to Planning	Chester FS: As Designed	Chester FS: Enhanced Option 1	Chester FS: Enhanced Option 2	Crewe FS: Draft Feasibility
Building Emission Rate (kgCO <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>2</sup> /annum)	48.2	37.2	26.8	1.6	-0.1
Energy Consumption (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> )	92.83	71.73	60.73	60.73	68.76
Area of PV Proposed (m <sup>2</sup> )	NIL	NIL	99.6	637.4	600
Energy Produced by PV (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> )	NIL	NIL	9	57.62	68.91
Electrical Energy to be Purchased from Grid (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> )	92.83	71.73	51.73	3.11	-0.15
Additional Capital Cost:	NIL	NIL	Cost to be finalised	Cost to be finalised	N / A
Annual Running Electricity Cost (Regulated Energy only). Based on 0.135pence per kWh (current price) - 1370m <sup>2</sup>		£ 132.66	£ 95.67	£ 5.75	
Annual Saving			£ 36.99 (27.9%)	£ 126.91 (95.7%)	

NB. These consumption figures are in respect of REGULATED ENERGY ONLY, i.e. energy used in the building for heat, light, ventilation and hot water. It does not include end user energy consumption e.g. Computers, Charging Fire Engines, Charging Cars.

The costs shown will not reflect actual electricity bills as the bills include all electricity consumed on the premises.

### Further Work and Summary

- Following the receipt of the above results, further modelling has been undertaken to assess the implications of adding triple glazing and further PV panels.

21. The design team have determined that adding triple glazing will result in a reduction in the Building Emission Rate from 1.6 kgCO<sub>2</sub>/m<sup>2</sup>/annum to 1.3 which is still some way from “zero carbon”. A possible side effect of doing this, is that the potential overheating of the building on a hot day may get worse, as hot air will get trapped in, rather than be allowed to escape.
22. As will be seen in the next paragraph, it is possible to obtain “Zero Carbon” for a lower cost than the triple glazing option. As a consequence of the above the design team do not recommend progressing with this option.
23. The design team have calculated that the addition of a further 35m<sup>2</sup> of PV panels would convert Option 2 to a “zero carbon” option, in respect of regulated energy.
24. Therefore, by enhancing Option 2 with additional PV panels the Fire Authority can deliver a zero carbon building for an additional £ 270,232.00 (subject to caveats below).
25. In order to test if a cheaper option is available we have also considered if “zero carbon” can be achieved by omitting the building fabric improvements and just doing additional PV panels. This option however would require a total of 795 m<sup>2</sup> of PV panels. By rule of thumb, this would require approximately 1000m<sup>2</sup> of roof, which is far in excess of the building size. This therefore isn't a viable option.
26. The design team therefore recommend that the “best value option” to enhance the green credentials of Chester Fire Station sufficiently to meet the “Climate Emergency Declaration” of the local authorities would be to adopt Option 2, with the additional PV for a sum of £270,232.00
27. This option will produce a zero carbon building through a combination of the use of PV Panels and Building Fabric Enhancements.
28. There are three steps which will need to be taken before the zero carbon option could be delivered: firstly, further design work will be required to ensure that the photo voltaic panels can be orientated for the best effect and so as not to impact upon neighbouring properties; secondly, an approach will be required to Scottish Power concerned with the discharge of spare energy into the grid; and thirdly, the current planning permission will need to be amended.

This page is intentionally left blank

## CHESHIRE FIRE AUTHORITY

**MEETING OF:** ESTATES AND PROPERTY COMMITTEE  
**DATE:** 13<sup>TH</sup> MARCH 2020  
**REPORT OF:** DIRECTOR OF GOVERNANCE AND COMMISSIONING  
**AUTHOR:** ANDREW LEADBETTER

---

**SUBJECT:** FIRE STATION MODERNISATION PROGRAMME  
– PROGRESS REPORT AND FUNDING

---

### Purpose of Report

1. To update Members about progress with the Fire Station Modernisation Programme (the Programme), particularly in relation to Year Zero (2018/19) and Year One (2019/20). To seek agreement from Members to secure the release of further capital funding for the Programme.

### Recommended: That Members

- [1] Note progress; and
- [2] Agree that officers should secure the release of further capital funding for the Programme.

### Background

2. The Fire Authority approved the Programme in September 2018, allocating £8.5m with £3.5m released initially. The Estates and Property Committee agreed the Delivery Schedule for the Programme in March 2019. This is attached to this report as Appendix 1.

### Information

3. Two fire stations have been modernised; Bollington and Tarporley (Year Zero). The works were completed in January 2020. The works were procured on a Direct Award basis under the Procure North West Lite Framework. This provided a quick route to market and promised an early completion date. Unfortunately, this did not prove to be the case. The works overran considerably and the contractor did not impress. The PNW Framework Director has been proactive in assisting officers with dealing with the contractor issues. The cost of the works did increase, but not significantly. Officers will show Members some images of the completed works.
4. The procurement of a contractor to deliver the rest of the Programme was concluded in July 2019 and Wates Construction was appointed. Subsequently, a great deal of work has gone into creating a good working relationship. This included the preparation of detailed programmes for the four sites to be modernised in Year One as well as agreeing ways of working to support the successful delivery of the Programme.

5. Four fire stations are currently being modernised; Birchwood, Middlewich, Runcorn and Sandbach (Year One) and are due to be completed in June 2020. The initial period on site has gone well and officers are optimistic that the June deadline will be met.
6. The preparatory work for Year Two is now starting. However, at present there is no funding for this work or subsequent years.

## Financial Implications

7. The capital approval for the Programme was £8.5m. At the outset £3.5m was made available for the Programme. This initial sum covers the works carried out in Year Zero and those that are to be completed in Year One.
8. The tables below set out the spend profile to-date:

Year Zero 2018/19 – Actual Spend		
Bollington Fire Station	£233,872	£406,738
Tarporley Fire Station	£172,866	

Year One 2019/20 – Expected Spend		
Birchwood Fire Station	£825,000	£2,900,000
Middlewich Fire Station	£475,000	
Runcorn Fire Station*	£1,025,000	
Sandbach Fire Station	£575,000	

\* major project, one of three fire stations in this category

9. Members will see that the first 6 fire stations (of 21 covered by the Programme) will have cost around £3.3m, which is 38% of the £8.5m that has been approved for the Programme. Whilst it is difficult to give a definitive view about the projected overall costs of the Programme it is reasonable to assume that it cannot be completed to the desired standard for £8.5m. Currently, a figure of somewhere between £11.5 and £12m appears more realistic, albeit a lot can change over the next four years (Year Two to Year Five inclusive).
10. Officers do not believe that it is appropriate to seek the allocation of additional funding for the Programme at this point. However, the balance of the £8.5m that has been allocated already needs to be made available if the Programme is to progress.
11. Officers intend to carry out a formal review of the Programme when the Year One works are completed, by which time the preparatory activity associated with the Year Two works should be completed. At that point officers could show Members further detail about actual spend and anticipated spend. Members could then determine how they wish to move forward with the Programme and more particularly whether additional funding should be sought.

## Legal Implications

12. There are no legal implications associated with this report.

## **Equality and Diversity Implications**

13. The Programme is delivering facilities that meet the Service's expectations around equality and diversity.

## **Environmental Implications**

14. The works to-date have delivered some environmental improvements to the fire stations. However, since the Programme was commenced climate change has become a much more significant issue. Officers intend to carry out an assessment of one fire station in Year Two to establish what would be involved to achieve significant environmental improvements, possibly even a zero carbon building. This will give an indication of the kind of costs that this might entail. It is hoped that this can be factored in to the review mentioned in paragraph 11.

**CONTACT: NAOMI THOMAS, GOVERNANCE AND CORPORATE PLANNING  
MANAGER**

**TEL [01606] 868804**

**BACKGROUND PAPERS: NONE**

This page is intentionally left blank

## Station Modernisation Delivery Schedule

Year	Financial Year	Cheshire East	Cheshire West	Halton	Warrington	Total
zero	2018-19	Bollington	Tarporley			2
1	2019-20	Middlewich Sandbach		Runcorn (major)	Birchwood	4
2	2020-21	Audlem Holmes Chapel	Northwich	Widnes		4
3	2021-22	Congleton Knutsford	Ellesmere Port (major) Malpas			4
4	2022-23	Macclesfield (major) Poynton	Frodsham Winsford			4
5	2023-24	Nantwich Wilmslow			Stockton Heath	3
	Sub-Total	11	6	2	2	21
	Replace	Crewe	Chester		Warrington	3
	Recent	Alsager	Powey Lane		Lymm Penketh	4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>28</b>

This page is intentionally left blank

## CHESHIRE FIRE AUTHORITY

**MEETING OF:** ESTATES AND PROPERTY COMMITTEE  
**DATE:** 13<sup>TH</sup> MARCH 2020  
**REPORT OF:** DIRECTOR OF GOVERNANCE AND COMMISSIONING  
**AUTHOR:** NAOMI THOMAS

---

**SUBJECT:** EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

---

### Exclusion of the Press and Public

**Recommended:**

That under Section 100(A) (4) of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) Order 2006, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the items of business listed below on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Schedule 12 A to the Act in the paragraphs indicated:

**Item 5: Chester Fire Station – Environmental Performance**

**Paragraph**

- (2) Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding the information).

**CONTACT OFFICER: NAOMI THOMAS, FIRE SERVICE HQ, WINSFORD  
TEL: [01606] 868804**

**BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS: NONE**

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank